Curtins | Building a better future

SPITTAL, THURSO **PRELIMINARY RISK** ASSESSMENT

16 December 2024

Curtins Ref: 085447-CUR-00-XX-RP-GE-00001 P04

Revision: Issue Date:

Client Name:

Field Client Address: Fora Montacute Yards 186 Shoreditch High Street London E1 6HU

Site Address: Spittal, Halkirk KW12 6XA

Rev	Description	Issued by	Checked	Date
P01	First Issue	MTL	CD	07 February 2024
P02	Update following client review	MTL	CD	22 March 2024
P03	Update following client review	MTL	CD	10 July 2024
P04	Update following client review	MTL	CD	16 December 2024

This report has been prepared for the sole benefit, use, and information for the client. The liability of Curtins Consulting Limited with respect to the information contained in the report will not extend to any third party.

Author	Signature	Date
Mark Lane BSc (Hons) REnvTech		16 December 2024
Senior Geo-Environmental Engineer		

Reviewed	Signature	Date
Craig Dickson		
BSc (Hons)		16 December 2024
Principal Geo-Environmental Engineer		

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Curtins were instructed by Field to under a Phase 1 Preliminary Risk Assessment of a site located in Spittal, Thurso. The proposed redevelopment for the Site is a battery storage facility for renewable energy storage.

A review of relevant geological maps, historical maps and publicly available resources show the Site has undergone minimal development since 1872. The Site has previously been used for agricultural purposes and at the time of writing was in use as an agricultural field.

The construction of overhead pylons associated with an electrical transmission substation to the north of the Site and a historical infilled quarry to the north-east of the Site may indicate made ground in these areas that could be a potential source of contamination and ground gas respectively.

As the Site is proposed for extensive redevelopment for a battery storage facility there is a low risk to future site users but a moderate to low risk to adjacent surface water bodies posed by any potential contaminants in the near surface superficial deposits. As such further work, including an intrusive site investigation is required to characterise site soils, assess extents of contamination, assess gas risk to future site users and allow for a geotechnical assessment of the Site.

CONTENTS

1 INTRODUCTION	4
1.1 Project Background	4
1.2 Scope of Services	4
2 Site details	5
2.1 Site Location and Current Details	5
2.2 Surrounding Area Details	5
2.3 Site Walkover	6
3 Site History	7
3.1 Historical Maps Review	7
4 Geology, Hydrogeology and hydrology	8
4.1 Geology and Hydrogeology	8
4.1.1 Mining	8
4.1.2 Ground Stability	8
4.1.3 Landfill	8
4.1.4 Ground Gas	8
4.2 Hydrology	9
4.3 Unexploded Ordnance	9
4.4 Preliminary UXO Assessment	9
5 Conceptual Site Model & Qualitative risk assessment	10
5.1 Conceptual Site Model	10
5.2 Additional Risk Assessments	10
6 Conclusion and Recommendations	12
6.1 Contaminants of Concern	12
6.2 Geotechnical Considerations	12
6.3 Additional Considerations	12
7 References	13
8 Appendices	14
8.1 Appendix A - Drawings	14
8.2 Appendix B – Third Party Reports	15
8.3 Appendix C – Qualitative Risk Assessment Rationale	16

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Background

In January 2024 Curtins were instructed by Field to undertake a Phase 1 Preliminary Risk Assessment of a site located in Spittal near Thurso.

1.2 Scope of Services

The Preliminary Risk Assessment (PRA) is intended to provide an overview of the geo-environmental and geotechnical setting of the site. It is understood the site is to be developed into a battery storage facility with associated access and drainage infrastructure.

Through this overview, the PRA aims to develop both a working conceptual and ground model for the site, as well as present an initial assessment of any risks that could be presented to the development including its intended end users and the wider environment.

Specifically, the PRA provides an initial assessment of the site regarding:

- a) Potential contamination of the site strata by historical and or current use;
- b) The potential impact on the wider environment by historical and or current use of the site of interest;
- c) The potential impact from surrounding land uses and other environmental factors;
- d) Potential problems associated with geological features such as faulting, mineral extraction, mining and land instability;
- e) The location of any sub-surface structures that may affect the proposed redevelopment;
- f) The location of above-surface features that may affect the proposed redevelopment; and,
- g) Recommendations for intrusive investigations.

A Site walkover was undertaken in addition to desk-based searches. Consideration of detailed flood risk, ecology and archaeological issues are outside the scope of this report.

2 SITE DETAILS

2.1 Site Location and Current Details

Site use, location and description are shown below in Table 2.1 and Figure 2.1 obtained from desk-based sources. It is noted that the site boundary considered as part of this PRA is confined to areas proposed to accommodate primary on-site infrastructure, which would further inform intrusive site investigations.

Site Address	Spittal, Halkirk, KW12 6XA
NGR	315725,955062
Area of Site	11 ha
Assessed	
Current Site use and	The site is currently used for grazing
Description	
Table 2.1 – Site Details	



Figure 2.1 Site Location Plan (Site boundary shown in pink and 250m buffer in blue).

2.2 Surrounding Area Details

The following information was also established through undertaking an environmental database search (Envirocheck report (Ref.1) included in Appendix B).

	Ν	Electrical Substation
Surrounding	Е	Agricultural land
Area	S	Agricultural land
	W	Agricultural land and woodland
T / /		

Table 2.2 Surrounding Land uses

2.3 Site Walkover

A Site walkover was undertaken by a Curtins Engineer on the 22nd January 2024. The walkover was undertaken to ascertain current Site conditions. The weather was generally characterised by strong winds followed by clear skies after a period of heavy rain.

The Site is a large agricultural field downslope from a large pastural farm. Overhead pylons cross the Site on a north to south bearing in the west section of the Site. The Site slopes from east to north-west. Drainage burns bound the Site on the south, east and north boundaries. The north boundary drainage burn shows potential shallow rock at the base of the burn. The Site is immediately surrounded by agricultural land to the east, south and west and a recently constructed electrical substation to the north. The area of the Site proposed for access roads, the east of the Site, is bound by a road and further agricultural land.

There were no visual or olfactory indications of contaminated land issues on the Site.

3 SITE HISTORY

3.1 Historical Maps Review

A review of the available historical mapping and other information for the site, as presented within the Envirocheck Report (Ref.1), has been undertaken and is presented in *Table 3.0* below.

Date	Description	Potential Sources of Contamination
1872	Site is shown as an agricultural field. The wider surrounding land use is shown as various (presumed) agricultural land, quarries and farm buildings. The remains of a Chapel and graveyard are shown approximately 90m to the south of the Site. An Old Quarry is shown midway along the north boundary.	Potential for ground gas generation associated with the graveyard, however, considering the earliest available maps show the chapel was already disused, gas generated is likely to have dissipated.
1907	The Site remains generally unaltered. An old quarry is shown approximately 50m to the north-east corner of the Site, approximately 400m from where the main Site buildings are likely to be located.	Potential for the uncontrolled deposition of made ground from historical quarry associated with potential backfilled materials adjacent to the Site, in particular, potential for ground gas generation.
1960	No changes shown on the Site. The old quarries out with the Site boundary were no longer shown.	No significant sources identified
1968	The old quarry within the Site boundary is no longer shown.	No significant sources identified
1970	No changes noted on-site. The old quarries were no longer shown.	No significant sources identified
2001 – 2006	No changes noted on-site.	No significant sources identified
2023	Overhead pylons shown crossing the site, north to south. Electrical distribution station shown 250m to the north of the Site.	Potential for the uncontrolled deposition of made ground associated with construction of pylons on Site and sub-station to north.

Table - 3.0 Previous Site and Surrounding Land Use and Potential Sources of Contamination

Potential sources of on-site contamination are further discussed in Section 5.0.

4 GEOLOGY, HYDROGEOLOGY AND HYDROLOGY

4.1 Geology and Hydrogeology

A study of the Envirocheck records, British Geological Survey (BGS) 1:50,000 mapping records (Bedrock and Superficial Editions) for Stonehaven (Sheet 067) (Ref.2) indicates the following geological and hydrogeological succession underlying the site.

Geology	Associated Hydrogeological Classification
Till Dovension Diamietan	SEPA mapping does not provide information on
	superficial aquifers.
	Middle Old Red Sandstone – Moderately productive
Spital Flagstone Formation – Siltstone, Mudstone	aquifer. Sandstones, in places flaggy, with siltstones,
and Sandstone	mudstones and conglomerates and interbedded
	lavas, locally vields small amount of groundwater.

Table 4.1 – Geological/Hydrogeological Succession

The nearest BGS recorded borehole (Ref.3) is located approximately 380m to the south-west, details of which are presented within Table 4.2 below.

Record Number	Location (NGR)	Details - depth to top of strata / lithology / (depth to base)
		Ground level – peaty soil underlain by brownish weathered silty clay (2.50m bgl)
ND15SE1	190m north-east (387824, 785552)	2.50m bgl, Interbedded siltstone, mudstone and sandstone (138.15m bgl)

Table 4.2 – BGS Borehole Records

Groundwater within the superficial deposits is likely to be limited due to the cohesive nature of the clay and where present is likely to be perched within sandy bands.

No groundwater abstractions are located within 1km of the Site and no historical wells were noted during the Site walkover or during the historical map review.

4.1.1 Mining

The site is not within a coal mining reporting area according to the Coal Authority Interactive Mapping (Ref.4).

4.1.2 Ground Stability

The Envirocheck Report confirms that there is a 'no hazard' to 'low risk' from the following ground stability hazards onsite; collapsible ground, compressible ground, ground dissolution, landslide ground, running sands and shrinking or swelling clay.

4.1.3 Landfill

The Envirocheck Report confirms there are no recorded operational or historic landfills recorded within 1km of the Site. It is noted that the Site is within the Highland Council local authority landfill coverage and the Envirocheck Report did not receive information pertaining to landfill activities on the Site. A search of the Highland Council's landfill and contaminated land registers was undertaken in addition to a search of SEPA's landfill register. No landfill records were noted during both searches.

4.1.4 Ground Gas

The BGS Radon Mapping (Ref.5) confirms the site is situated in a radon area where >3-5% of homes are at or above the radon action level. On this basis, basic radon protection measures are considered necessary in the construction of any enclosed spaces.

Where the new development incorporates a basement the advice of a specialist Radon assessor must be obtained.

As per the historical map review, infilled quarries adjacent and on the Site could contain materials with the potential to generate ground gas.

4.2 Hydrology

The nearest surface water feature is the Achanarras Burn located adjacent to the west of the Site. The burn is classified as Moderate, is a small tributary in the River Thurso catchment and is designated as a heavily modified water body. The burns adjacent to the site that flow along the north, east and south field boundaries of the main Site area, flow into the Achanarras Burn.

No surface water abstractions are noted within 500m of the site.

No pollution incidents have been registered within 300m of the site.

4.3 Unexploded Ordnance

Military activities including those conducted as part of both the First and Second World Wars have resulted in a legacy of unexploded ordnance (UXO) being present within the shallow soils of the UK.

UXO result from various sources including both allied (military training) and German (bombing raids) with a guide figure of approximately 10% of all munitions failing to function as designed.

The likelihood of UXO being encountered on a development site is influenced by several factors including; the proximity to strategic targets, the nature of the development works being undertaken and evidence of local damage in the post-war periods amongst others. To determine the likelihood of UXO being present on a site, a step-wise risk assessment process is followed. This process is outlined within CIRIA C681 Unexploded Ordnance: A Guide for the Construction Industry (Ref.6) with the following commentary considered to represent a Preliminary Risk Assessment intended to guide if and where there is a requirement for a Detailed Risk Assessment.

4.4 Preliminary UXO Assessment

Risk mapping (Ref.7) for UXO's has placed the site in a Low risk area. Low risk areas are those with a bombing density of up to 10 bombs per 1000 acres. These areas are considered to have a low UXO risk.

The Envirocheck Report historical mapping (Ref.1) does not indicate any ruins, or buildings that disappeared during WWII, on or surrounding the site.

Based on the forgoing commentary, the likelihood of encountering UXO on site as part of the ground investigation or development works is Low.

If unexploded ordnance is discovered, stop immediately, prevent access to the area, and inform the police. If the site boundary or location changes then the UXO risk should be reassessed

5 CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL & QUALITATIVE RISK ASSESSMENT

5.1 Conceptual Site Model

The conceptual site model (CSM) and Qualitative Risk Assessment (QRA) are presented in the table within this section.

The CSM details the source-pathway-receptor linkages or potential pollutant linkages (PPL) that have been identified for the site. The QRA details the associated level of risk relating to these potential pollutant linkages.

The CSM and QRA concern risk to human health and water environment with additional, more specific risk assessment protocols contained within the main body of this reporting, as detailed in Section 6.2 below.

The QRA follows the framework outlined within CIRIA C552 which is summarised within Appendix C.

The 'risk rating' within the QRA refers to the risk that the source, pathway, receptor linkage or PPL is complete. Unless specifically stated it does not necessarily refer to an immediate risk and is intended to be used as a tool to assess the necessity for further assessment/investigation

5.2 Additional Risk Assessments

The following risk assessments, listed below, are not included within the main CSM and QRA but nonetheless can be of critical importance to the onward development of the site.

- The risk presented by Mining is discussed and assessed in Section 4.1.1.
- The risk presented by Radon is discussed and assessed in Section 4.1.3.
- The risk presented by Unexploded Ordnance is discussed and assessed in Section 4.3.

Under current health and safety legislation, employers are required to carry out their own appropriate risk assessments and mitigation to protect themselves and their employees, other human receptors and the environment from potential contamination. Such risks must be adequately mitigated by law, specifically the Construction Design Management (CDM) Regulations 2015 (Ref.8) which require that potential risks to human health and the environment from construction activities are appropriately identified and all necessary steps taken to eliminate/manage that risk. It has been assumed that any future construction works on site will be undertaken in compliance with these requirements.

Qualitative Risk Assessment Ass	Generic titative Risk sessment Remedial Actio	 The table below rep For a development The purpose of eac to have sufficient co In the absence of sp 	resents the first stage in the site to be deemed 'suitable h stage of risk assessmen nfidence to support a risk becific site data, a Generic
	Conceptual Site Model		
Source	Pathway(s)	Receptor(s)	Consequence
	Direct contact, ingestion, inhalation (dust and vapours).	Site end-user	Mild Acute health risk
 Made Ground and contamination associated with: Uncontrolled deposition during construction of pylons and adjacent sub-station. Fuel Spills from farming equipment during farming activities on the Site. 	Vertical migration through the superficial deposits (soils) May occur due physical processes including; capillary action and downwards into the natural deposits through infiltration, however presence of cohesive glacial till may limit vertical migration on Site	Water Environment (groundwater) Unclassified Aquifer. No potable abstraction points located within the vicinity of the site.	Mild Pollution of non-sensitive water resources
	Horizontal migration over and through the superficial deposits (soils).	Water Environment (surface water) Achanarras Burn	Medium Pollution of sensitive water resources
 Production of ground generating gases from: Made ground from infilled quarry to the north and north east of the Site. 	Vertical and horizontal migration through the underlying superficial deposits, however, cohesive deposits may limit vertical gas migration	Site end-user	Medium Human health risk

stage in the land quality risk assessment process: The Qualitative Risk Assessment.

ed 'suitable for use', the level of risk needs to be brought down to acceptable levels, i.e. low to very low risk. assessment is ultimately to establish, if there is a requirement for additional levels of assessment to be made port a risk characterisation or management decision, e.g. remedial action.

Likelihood of Occurrence

Low Due to the nature of the Site having undergone minimal development over

time the presence of made ground is considered Low.

Low There is limited potential for the leaching

of contamination from made ground arising. There is also a lack of potable

abstractions within the area.

Unlikely

Unlikely considering the distance to the

receptor and presence of anticipated cohesive soils.

Unlikely With reference to BS8576:2013 (Ref. 10),

these sources are considered to have a moderate gassing potential, however the

feature was considered to be located sufficient distance from likely receptors to pose a significant risk.

N

Qualitative Risk Assessment

a Generic Quantitative Risk Assessment is invariably recommended.

isk Rating	Recommended Actions	
Low		
Low	Generic Quantitative Risk Assessment recommended as part of the ground investigation to confirm risk assessment and findings of previous ground investigations.	
oderate/ Low		
	Ground Gas Monitoring	
Low	Risk is considered low due to cohesive nature of on-site superficial soils. However, any residual risk can be mitigated though ground gas monitoring. Recommended as part of the ground investigation.	

6 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The qualitative risk assessment (QRA) determined a varied level of risk associated with the proposed development.

The QRA concluded by recommending that generic quantitative risk assessments (GQRA) were conducted to confirm the assessment of risk ascribed to each of the respective potential pollutant linkages (PPLs). It is recommended that the GQRA is conducted as part of a ground investigation in support of the engineering design of the proposed development.

In summary, the following recommendations are made:

- Undertake an intrusive ground investigation;
- Undertake a GQRA as part of the ground investigation; and
- Undertake ground gas monitoring.

It is further recommended that this work is completed in advance of any development works taking place. A Drawing showing a proposed ground investigation is located in Appendix B.

6.1 Contaminants of Concern

Potential contaminants of concern associated with the sources identified within the Conceptual Site Model in Section 5.0 of this report include but are not limited to: broad range aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons present within any fuel oils used from farming activities (localised spills); polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs); inorganic compounds including heavy metals) and asbestos.

Potential contaminants associated with the airborne dust/particulates include but are not limited to: polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and inorganic compounds including toxic metals that are also accounted for by potential on-site contaminants of concern.

6.2 Geotechnical Considerations

A review of the geological mapping and Envirocheck report in Section 4.0 has determined that, whilst there are no geological features that pose significant risk to the Site development a ground investigation should be undertaken to characterise ground conditions on-site.

Therefore, an allowance should be made for in situ testing of soils and retrieval of soil samples for laboratory testing to develop recommendations for suitable foundation solutions and consideration of other geotechnical aspects, for example:

- The presence of soft/ unsuitable soils, including organic-rich materials and/ or peat,
- The presence of shallow bedrock,
- The presence of shallow groundwater which may impact on the cutting of foundations and other excavations during development,
- The stability of excavation side walls during development works, including services/ utilities and foundations,
- The potential re-use of site-won materials within earthworks (where appropriate), and
- The potential requirement for slope stability assessments (where appropriate).

6.3 Additional Considerations

The requirement for additional surveys, e.g. archaeological, flood risk, arboriculture and ecological surveys, should be confirmed through advice from a suitably qualified and experienced professional.

.

7 REFERENCES

- 1. Landmark (2019) Envirocheck Report (report no. 332995318_1_!).
- British Geological Society (BGS) Solid and Drift Editions (1985) 1:50,000 mapping, Sheet No. 116W (Thurso and Wick).
- 3. BGS Geology of Britain Viewer http://mapapps.bgs.ac.uk/geologyofbritain/home.html (accessed January 2024).
- 4. Coal Authority Interactive Map Viewer, http://mapapps2.bgs.ac.uk/coalauthority/home.html (accessed January 2024).
- 5. UK Maps of Radon, http://www.ukradon.org/information/ukmaps (accessed January 2024).
- 6. CIRIA (2009) Unexploded Ordnance: A Guide for the Construction Industry (report no. C681).
- 7. Zetica Risk Maps, https://zeticauxo.com/downloads-and-resources/risk-maps/ (January 2024).
- 8. Health and Safety Executive (2015) Construction (Design and Management) Regulations.
- 9. British Standards Institution BSI (2013) Guidance on investigations for ground gas Permanent gases and Volatile Organic Compounds (report no. BS8576)
- 10. Spittal BESS Archaeological Written Scheme of Investigation for Engineering-led Ground Investigations, Royal Haskoning DHV UK Ltd (2023) – (Report no. PC3506-RHD-07-XX-ME-Z-0003)

8 APPENDICES

8.1 Appendix A - Drawings



	<u>)</u> .		
L	-		
М	-		
\setminus	-		
$\langle \rangle$	<u>(</u> -		
7			
	\ .		
MK			
SAL)			
	` .		
	•		
	•		
\frown	-		
	Status:		
SPITTAL	FIN	IAL	
SED GROUND	Drawn By MTL	Checked	By CD
	Scales @ A3	NTS	
riginator - Function - Spatial - Form	- Discipline - Number		Revision
- CUR - XX - ST - [D-GE- 000	01	P02